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Abstract

Coordination capacity is defined as being, first and foremost, an ability to quickly acquire new movements (the ability to learn quickly) and, second, an ability to quickly restructure the motor activity according to circumstances which change suddenly. Coordination is a motor quality with a wide applicability in both daily and sports activities, and we believe that this quality should be addressed with priority during the physical education and sports lessons in the technical higher education. The study carried out aims to orient the effort in the physical education and sports lesson towards the bio-psychomotor needs of the future engineer by implementing specialised programmes, which have as a priority the development of coordination capacity. The experimental research was conducted between 01.10.2017 and 19.01.2018 on a sample of 70 students (male and female) from the Politehnica University of Bucharest. To determine the coordination capacity level, we used three tests in the initial and final phases of the experiment, namely the Matorin test for general coordination, the Hexagon test for agility – the speed coordination of the lower limbs – and the Bruininks-Oseretsky test for the upper-limb coordination (item 3). We found positive changes in the arithmetic means of the two tests (initial and final ones), the differences being statistically significant in the three tests investigated. The exercise programs which aimed at the coordination capacity development proved to be efficient for the students, having practical and applicative value, with possibilities to be used in the future profession through positive transfer.
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1. Introduction

Coordination capacity is defined as man’s ability to perform high-level motor coordination acts and actions in conditions of efficiency and with minimal energy and nervous consumption (Dragnea et al., 2006). Coordination regulates the ability to rationally execute various motor skills, regardless of the sports discipline approached (Bompa, 2008). It comprises the harmonisation of the nervous and musculoskeletal systems, resulting in a rapid, accurate and balanced motor response normally assessed by measurements of hand-eye or foot-eye coordination (Corbin, Pangrazi, & Franks, 2000). Agility relates to the ability of rapidly changing the position of the entire body in space with speed and accuracy (Fernandes et al., 2016).

Most authors consider coordination as a complex motor skill that is educated to improve abilities such as: the ability to adjust and direct single movements or actions involving the entire body, the ability to master the motor movement in any situation, as well as the ability to quickly move from some actions and relations to others, without interrupting the continuity and fluidity of motor acts and actions, in accordance with the ever-changing environmental requirements (Șerbănoiu, 2002)

Today’s professions, in general, and the engineering profession, in particular, whether it be mechanical, mechatronics, electronics, medical or aerospace engineering, are highly demanding jobs that require skills, talent, ambidexterity, space orientation, speed reaction, balance, almost everything that means motor coordination, because small mistakes can cause immense damage and can even lead to serious injuries.

Since coordination capacity is an aptitude with wide applicability in the sports and daily activity, and, as we have seen, in the professional one, it can be considered as a priority in the development of any individual.

2. Problem Statement

The issue of coordination capacity development is little known and explored at the level of young students in non-specialised higher education. Thus, most studies focus on the development of motor skills correlated with the state of health (Finichiu, 2012; Florescu, Pelin, Becea, Grigoroiu, & Neagu, 2016; Wesselly, Netolitzchi, Leonte, Branet, & Popescu, 2018) or target the students of representative university teams (Răchiță, 2016; Grigoroiu, Pelin, Wesselly, & Mezei, 2017). Physical education in technical universities aims, besides the formation of knowledge, at practical and intellectual skills, the acquisition of ethical values, the social integration of the individual and their professional development. The qualitative specificity of physical education does not consist in the fact that physical effort is being made, but in the objectives pursued, the way this activity is carried out and the emphasis placed on the formative value of the training content. One of the objectives of the Physical Education, Sports and Physical Therapy Department of the Politehnica University of Bucharest is to adapt the training content to the needs of the students, to enhance their learning outcomes and to help them acquire the skills specific to the profession they will practice. This idea is supported by Budde, Voelcker-Rehag, Pietraby-Kendziorra, Ribeiro and Tidow (2008), who have found that 10 minutes of acute bilateral coordination exercises promote more improvement in concentration and attention in learning than a normal physical education lesson with the same duration. They have also stated that coordination exercises involve an activation of the cerebellum,
which, besides motor functions, influences a variety of neuro-behavioural systems including attention, working memory and verbal learning and memory.

The novelty of this paper is to address coordination capacity at the level of non-specialised higher education, so as to focus the effort on the priority needs of the students through means specific to physical education and sports, within the proposed coordination program. The program uses instruments borrowed from sports disciplines such as volleyball, athletics, aerobic gymnastics, which are ingeniously combined with various coordination-aiding materials such as: coordination ladder, targets, Boss semi-spheres, ropes, adjustable hurdles, balls of different sizes.

3. Research Questions

Is it possible to improve coordination capacity in young students by implementing the program using means borrowed from different sports branches?

4. Purpose of the Study

The aim of this paper is to orient the effort in the physical education and sports lesson towards the bio-psychomotor needs of the future engineer through the implementation of the program for the coordination capacity improvement, which combines means borrowed from sports disciplines with different aiding materials.

5. Research Methods

5.1. Subjects, place and strategy of the research

The investigated sample was made up of 70 subjects (girls and boys) aged 19-22, students at the Politehnica University of Bucharest (UPB). The research took place between 01.10.2017 and 19.01.2018 in the UPB Sports Complex. The selection process was random. The environment in which the study took place provided optimal conditions for carrying out the research. The research was conducted in two phases for each subject. The steps of the experiment are shown in Table 01.

Table 01. The steps of the experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Activity performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.09.17-01.10.18</td>
<td>Initial testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.10.17-18.01.18</td>
<td>Implementation of the coordination program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.01.2018</td>
<td>Final testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over the course of 14 weeks, the experimental group performed the special program for the coordination capacity development during the physical education lessons, for 100 minutes/week. The means used during the lessons were both means borrowed from sports disciplines such as: aerobic gymnastics, volleyball, basketball, football, and exercises with diversified means such as: coordination ladder, hoops, targets, poles and cones, adjustable hurdles. The program of means was adapted to the level of the group and the needs of the engineering profession. We exemplify in Table 02 some sequences from the coordination program of the physical education and sports lesson.

Table 02. Example of coordination means

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motor skill</th>
<th>Variants and dosing</th>
<th>The involved coordination component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic gymnastics; Basketball</td>
<td>1.a. on music; b. individually, with throwing and catching the tennis ball; c. with partner, executed in the mirror with throwing and catching the volleyball on each step - 4x8 - 20 sec. 2.a. individually, on music; b. with partner, throwing the basketball on the ground with two hands from the chest.</td>
<td>1.2. general and segmental coordination; visual-motor coordination; kinaesthetic spatial orientation, ability to transform movement and rhythm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. the V-step</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. squat</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. agility, segmental coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>3.a. running with knees up - 6-8 repetitions - 10 sec. b. slalom between hurdles - 6-8 repetitions - 10 sec.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. from the standing position, facing the hurdles and going across six hurdles placed 1m apart from each other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball; Gymnastics</td>
<td>4.a. from sitting on the bench, with the ball as close as possible to the performer’s “cup” b. preceded by close-to-close rolling c. on the spot, preceded by ankle movement, and stopping under the downward trajectory of the ball - 15 executions for each means - 2 min.</td>
<td>4. visual-motor coordination, spatial-temporal orientation, ability for kinaesthetic differentiation, ambidexterity, balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. shooting with two hands overhead the ball thrown by the coach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Moving along the drill ladder s.7., standing on the right side of the ladder. T1 - side step with the left foot in the centre of the ladder; T2 - closing with the right foot; T.3 - side step with the left foot outside the ladder; T4 - step forward with the right foot in the centre of the ladder</td>
<td>5.a. frontal b. backwards c. with balls of different sizes d. with turning e. with balls and with a partner 2-4 repeats for each exercise – approximately 35 sec.</td>
<td>5. precision, visual-motor coordination, rhythmicity, agility, ambidexterity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handball, drill ladder</td>
<td>6.a. standing - series of 10 exercises, 30 sec. b. on the coordination ladder, from movement, a partner facing and the other with the back to the movement direction 7. dribbling from movement on the ladder</td>
<td>6.a. precision, lower limbs, upper limbs, visual-motor coordination 7. agility, segmental coordination, visual-motor coordination, precision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. throw and catch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. dribbling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2. Research techniques used

The research instruments were represented by the following tests and assessments:

- the Matorin test to determine general coordination (Horghidan, 1997);
- the Hexagon test to determine agility – the speed coordination of the lower limbs;
- the Bruininks-Oseretsky test (item 3, catch with both hands the ball thrown by the examiner – for visual-motor coordination), the test for the upper-limb coordination (Horghidan, 1997).

5.3. Research design

The research methods used to develop the pedagogical experiment are the following: bibliographic documentation, direct and indirect observation, experiment, testing and measurement, statistical and graphical methods (Epuran, 2005).

6. Findings

The dynamics of the results obtained during the experiment is shown in Table 03 and refers to the value of the parameters determined through the tests for assessing coordination capacity in the two testing phases (initial and final ones) by comparing the statistical indicators recorded at the group level.

Table 03. Comparative analysis of the indices recorded by the experimental group in the coordination capacity assessment – Initial testing vs. final testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Mathematical and statistical indicators</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cv</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial testing</td>
<td>Final testing</td>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>Initial testing</td>
<td>Final testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Matorin left</td>
<td>deg. 260.57±38.36</td>
<td>320.28±27.34</td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Matorin right</td>
<td>deg. 301.42±29.0</td>
<td>371.07±21.0</td>
<td>69.65</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Hexagon</td>
<td>sec. 14.95±8.09</td>
<td>11.50±5.60</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>54.11</td>
<td>48.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Bruininks-Oseretsky</td>
<td>pts 3.27±0.97</td>
<td>4.51±0.58</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>12.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysing by comparison the statistical indicators determined, we find that, in the Matorin Test with rotation to the left, the value of the arithmetic mean “$\overline{X}$” is 260.57$^0$ at the initial testing and 320.28$^0$ at the final one (Figure 01). The progress rate between T1-T2 is 59.71$^0$. The spreading rate of the string values represented by the standard deviation “$\sigma$” has the value of 38.36 at the initial testing (T1) and 27.34 at the final testing. The coefficient of variation “$Cv$” has the value of 13.95% at the initial testing and 8.53% at the final testing, the sample being relatively homogeneous at the initial testing compared to the final one, where the sample is homogeneous. The Student’s dependent t-test value calculated between the two tests is 18.13, so 18.13> 2.00 (at the p-value <0.05 in Fischer’s Table), consequently the differences are statistically significant.
In the Matorin test with rotation to the right, the value of the arithmetic mean $\overline{X}$ is $301.42^0$ at the initial testing and $371.07^0$ at the final testing (Figure 02). The progress rate between T1-T2 is 69.65$. The spreading rate of the string values represented by the standard deviation $\sigma$ has the value of 29.0 at the initial testing (T1) and 21.0 at the final testing. The coefficient of variation $Cv$ has the value of 9.62% at the initial testing and 5.65% at the final one, the sample being considered homogeneous in both tests. The Student’s dependent t-test value calculated between the two tests is 2.36, so $2.36 > 2.00$ (at the p-value <0.05 in Fischer’s Table), consequently the differences are statistically significant.

In the Hexagon test, the value of the arithmetic mean $\overline{X}$ is 14.95 sec. at the initial testing and 11.50 sec. at the final testing (Figure 03). The progress rate between T1-T2 is 3.45 sec. The spreading rate of the string values represented by the standard deviation $\sigma$ has the value of 8.09 at the initial testing (T1) and 5.60 at the final testing. The coefficient of variation $Cv$ has the value of 54.11% at the initial testing and 48.69% at the final one, the sample being heterogeneous in both tests. The Student’s dependent t-test value calculated between the two tests is 3.57, so $3.57 > 2.00$ (at the p-value <0.05 in Fischer’s Table), consequently the differences are statistically significant.
In the Bruininks-Oseretsky test, the value of the arithmetic mean “$\bar{X}$” is 3.27 pts at the initial testing and 4.51 pts at the final testing (Figure 04). The progress rate between T1-T2 is 1.24 pts. The spreading rate of the string values represented by the standard deviation “σ” has the value of 0.97 at the initial testing (T1) and 0.58 at the final testing. The coefficient of variation “Cv” has the value of 29.66% at the initial testing and 12.86% at the final testing, the sample being heterogeneous at the initial testing and relatively homogenous at the final one. The Student’s dependent t-test value calculated between the two tests is 3.57, so $3.57 > 2.00$ (at the p-value <0.05 in Fischer’s Table), consequently the differences are statistically significant.

Figure 04. Representation of the averages obtained by the experimental group at the initial testing vs. final testing – Bruininks-Oseretsky test

7. Conclusion

The analysis and interpretation of the experimental results regarding the level of the coordination capacity development reveals that the students have made progress in all three applied tests, which highlights the validity of the didactic strategy materialised by the efficiency and originality of the training programs implemented. The rationalisation and algorithmisation of the operational structures in the programs applied proved their effectiveness through the difference in the values of the results obtained at the initial and final testing after applying the tests for the coordination capacity assessment.

The program proposed for improving coordination capacity proved to be appropriate for the UPB students, the differences between the two testing phases being statistically significant for all three tests.
In terms of general coordination, with regard to the arithmetic mean of the group, the students progressed from the “satisfactory” score to the “good” score for the Matorin test on the left side and from the “good” score to the “very good” score for the one on the right side.

The students’ agility progressed from the “very good” score to the “excellent” score, and the coordination of both hands progressed from 3 points to 4.5 out of 5 possible.

The program was received with pleasure and interest by the students; we can say that it has made them adapt, find solutions, solve situations, become spontaneous and efficient, the skill being par excellence the quality of the nervous system to direct and refine the movements of our body in the most unexpected situations.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Politehnica University of Bucharest through the “Excellence Research Grants” Program, Identifier: UPB-GEX 2017, Ctr. No. 97/2017 (POSOSTU).

Authors’ contributions

All authors contributed equally to this study and should be considered as main authors.

References


