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Abstract

According to recent studies, teachers’ well-being is a significant contributor to teacher effectiveness in terms of students’ academic achievement, so it would be worth finding out what contributes to teachers’ well-being. By extending previous research that revealed the positive relationship between the calling orientation and well-being and the negative between job/career orientation and well-being, the present study aimed to explore the role of flow in different life domains as mechanisms underlying this relationship, with an accent on flow at work. Correlational research design was used to examine the relationship between work orientations, flow in different life domains and flourishing of 315 classroom teachers from Croatia. The following self-report measures were used: Work-Life Questionnaire, Swedish Flow Proneness Questionnaire, and Flourishing Scale. Three parallel mediations were performed to test the hypothesized mediation role of flow in different life domains on the relationship between work orientations and flourishing. Results showed that career orientation was insignificant for teachers’ flourishing. Flow at work partially mediated the positive relationship between calling orientation and flourishing, and the negative relationship between job orientation and flourishing. The study provides empirical support for the role of flow at work relationship between calling and wellbeing, suggesting that flourishing of calling oriented teachers can be enhanced by experiencing flow at work.
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1. Introduction

The positive relationship between happiness and various success-related outcomes (e.g. job autonomy, job satisfaction, job performance, helping colleagues, popularity, and income) had already been supported through various cross-sectional studies, while longitudinal, and experimental research provides additional evidence that happiness precedes and leads to career success, rather than vice versa (for a review see Walsh, Boehm, & Lyubomirsky, 2018). In the context of the teaching profession, growing research interest in the last two decades has revealed that teachers’ well-being fosters students' motivation (e.g. Sutton & Wheatley, 2003), well-being (e.g. Bakker, 2005, Sisask et al., 2014), academic achievement (e.g. Briner & Dewberry, 2007; Duckworth, Quinn, & Seligman, 2009), and supportive classroom climate and teacher-student relationships (e.g. Ihtiyaroğlu, 2018; Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). Teachers’ well-being contributes to their own effectiveness (for a review, see McCallum, Price, Graham, & Morrison, 2017), but what contributes to their well-being? Thus, the current research explores the role of teacher attitudes towards their work and flow experience in different activities in their well-being.

1.1. Work Orientations – a Calling, a Career or “Just” a Job

Research suggests that people tend to frame their relationship to work in three different ways that determine their experience of work and its accompanying thoughts, feelings, and behaviours (Wrzesniewski, 2003). Bellah and colleagues (Bellah, Madsen, Sullivan, Swidler, & Tipton, 1985) were the first who presented the tripartite model of work orientations based on distinct subjective meanings attached to work: job, career, and calling. Afterwards, Wrzesniewski, McCauley, Rozin, & Schwartz (1997) provided empirical support for this model using a diverse sample of employees. Job orientation implies seeing work as “just a job” - a source of financial stability/security. Hence, one’s identity, interests, and ambitions are not expressed through one’s work. Career orientation implies seeing work as a source of opportunities for advancement and achievement in terms of gaining promotion, higher social and financial status, power and prestige within the scope of one’s occupation, and is therefore partially related to one’s identity. And finally, calling orientation refers to seeing work as a fulfilling and purposeful, intrinsically rewarding, socially-useful and central part of one’s identity. Due to the variety of definitions, mostly in terms of meaningful passion, purpose and/or meaning in life, Duffy and his colleagues (Duffy, Dik, Douglass, England, & Velez, 2018), in their recent article, emphasized a need for conceptual clarity of this phenomenon. Trying to capture its crucial components, they defined calling as “an approach to work that reflects seeking a sense of overall purpose and meaning and is used to help others or contribute to the common good, motivated by an external or internal summons” (p. 426). Similarly, Steger (2017) defined it as “… work that is personally meaningful, is motivated by an interest in serving a prosocial benefit, and in addition is a response to a summons to work that comes from transcendent sources, such as religious Higher Powers, respected authorities, or perceived societal need.” (p. 65).

Bunderson and Thompson (2009) suggested that calling can be both binding and ennobling: on the one hand, as a source of identity, broader meaning and significance in one's work and occupation, and on the other hand, as a sources of obligation in terms of moral duty, sacrifice of pay, personal time, and
comfort and vigilance towards higher standards. This neoclassical theoretical approach has been empirically confirmed in numerous studies. Hence, during the past few years, there has been a growing scholarly interest mainly regarding the calling orientation (e.g., Clinton, Conway, & Sturges, 2017; Duffy et al., 2018; Rawat & Nadavulakere, 2015).

1.2. Calling Orientation

Studies have repeatedly shown that an individual's sense of a calling has beneficial effects on one's professional and personal life. Calling orientation is related to several positive employee attitudes and performance such as positive job attitudes (Zhang, Hirschi, Herrmann, Wei, & Zhang, 2015), career outcome expectations, occupational choice, interests, and goals (e.g., Kaminsky & Behrend, 2015), greater self-reflection (Hirschi, 2012), work engagement, affective and normative occupational commitment, career satisfaction (e.g. Dobrow, 2006; Duffy, Allan, Autin, & Douglass, 2014; Hirschi, 2012; Sawhney, Britt, & Wilson, 2019; Xie, Xia, Xin, & Zhou, 2016), and higher levels of job performance (e.g. Lee, Chen, & Chang, 2016; Park, Sohn, & Ha, 2016). Hall and Chandler (2005) even claim that a sense of calling is the fundamental form of subjective professional success while it fosters the acquisition of meta-competencies (e.g., adaptability, engagement (Xie et al., 2016)) which eventually improve individual and organizational performance. As mentioned earlier, calling orientation is associated with work-related and life-related well-being. Individuals with callings are more satisfied with their jobs and life, and they put in more effort and time at work, regardless of whether or not it is compensated, than those with job or career orientations (Peterson, Park, Hall, & Seligman, 2009; Wrzesniewski et al., 1997). They tend to endorse higher levels of job satisfaction, work meaning, life meaning, zest, life satisfaction (e.g., Dobrow & Tosti-Kharas, 2011; Duffy & Dik, 2013; Duffy, England, Douglass, Autin, & Allan, 2017; Peterson et al., 2009), cope better with stress and have lower depression (e.g., Treadgold, 1999). Besides quantitative studies, several qualitative studies have also confirmed that individuals with a sense of calling often report high levels of fulfilment and happiness (Duffy, Foley, et al., 2012; Hernandez, Foley, & Beitin, 2011).

Even though the above-mentioned studies were conducted across a range of different professions, persons working in the education and health sector perceive a calling more often than those in other professions (Farkas, Johnson, & Foleno, 2000; Hagmaier & Abele, 2012). Teaching profession is, the same as a calling, characterized by a sense of personal mission, purpose in life and service towards others while most of the working time is spent in interactions with others (Dobrow, 2004; Saraf & Murthy, 2016; Wrzesniewski et al., 1997). Moreover, teachers with the calling orientation are more enthusiastic towards their work (e.g., Buskist, Benson, & Sikorski, 2005), more satisfied with their job and life (Wrzesniewski et al., 1997), more caring for their students’ well-being (Bullough & Hall-Kenyon, 2012), more engaged at work, perceiving their work as more meaningful, as a service for the greater good (Fouche, Rothmann, & van der Vyver, 2017; Rothmann & Hamukangandu, 2013a; Willemse & Deacon, 2015). Croatian elementary school teachers, who perceive teaching as a calling tend to be happier, more satisfied with their job and life, find more meaning in life, and have a lower level of emotional exhaustion (e.g., Jurčec, 2014; Jurčec & Rijavec, 2015). Nonetheless, it seems that the association between the calling orientation and these positive outcomes, especially well-being, is not so straightforward. Lately,
scholars have focused on identifying potential mediators as the mechanisms which may explain that relationship (for a review, see Duffy et al., 2018). For instance, in one study among Croatian teachers (Miljković, Jurčec, & Rijavec, 2016) it was found that the relationship between the calling orientation and well-being was completely mediated by the meaningfulness of work and occupational identification while the relationship between the job orientation and well-being was only partially mediated by these variables.

1.3. Flow

Flow or optimal experience is a highly enjoyable state of effortless concentration during which a person is so involved in the activity that he/she becomes completely absorbed in it (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990). The preconditions necessary to enter a state of flow include a challenge – skill balance; specific and proximal goals; and clear and immediate feedback about the progress (Asakawa, 2010; Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Nakamura & Csikszentmihalyi, 2002). As an intrinsically rewarding and enjoyable experience, flow may occur during a variety of activities, such as playing instruments, dancing, climbing, playing chess, or working (Csikszentmihalyi & Csikszentmihalyi, 1988). What is crucial in achieving the flow state is that a person’s skills and challenges of a task are both at a high level. Studies showed that work is more flow-promoting than both leisure and maintenance activities (Csikszentmihalyi & LeFevre, 1989). Nonetheless, Rijavec and colleagues (Rijavec, Ljubin-Golub, & Olčar, 2016) extended those findings with the notion that the flow experienced in the activities which a person perceives as most important contributes the most to his/her well-being. Teachers have been found to rather frequently experience flow at work (Delle Fave, 2007). For instance, primary school teachers who participated in a study reported by Beard and Hoy (2010) described experiencing the action - awareness merging, with the activities becoming spontaneous and automatic, and self-consciousness disappears during teachings, which are standard characteristics of experiencing flow. In another qualitative study (Dalton, Holoboff, Kaniusis, Kranenborg, & Sliva, 2014) five main characteristics emerged in teachers’ descriptions of flow: engagement, authentic and meaningful experiences, relationships, learning environment, flexibility and risk-taking. Finally, it is important to emphasize that in the state of flow, enjoyment in teaching is high and therefore teachers’ enthusiasm and passion may become contagious for their students as well (Chan, 2009). Moreover, Csikszentmihalyi (1996) claimed that motivation provided by teachers’ experience of flow may be crucial to effective teaching.

Studies have shown that flow is associated with higher engagement (e.g., Ljubin-Golub, Rijavec, & Jurčec, 2018), task interest, and job performance (e.g., Chu & Lee, 2012), life satisfaction (e.g., Asakawa, 2010) and psychological well-being (Bassi, Steca, Monzani, Greco, & Delle Fave, 2013). On the sample of Croatian primary school teachers, Olčar (2015) found that they often experience flow at work, mostly during teaching (63%) and that work-related flow was positively related to their well-being (positive affect, engagement and life satisfaction) and negatively to their ill-being (negative affect and burnout).
2. Problem Statement

According to recent studies, teachers’ well-being is a significant contributor to teacher effectiveness in terms of students’ academic achievement, so it would be worth finding out what contributes to teachers’ well-being. Even though the calling orientation is related to positive work outcomes and well-being, it seems that mere presence of calling is not sufficient to generate these outcomes (e.g., Duffy, England, Douglass, Autin & Allan, 2017; Duffy, Douglass, Autin, England, & Dik, 2016; Gazica & Spector, 2015).

As a reaction to the lack of theoretical framework that can define and conceptually clarify calling and the mechanisms through which it influences key outcomes, in their recent paper Duffy and his colleagues (Duffy, Dik, Douglass, England, & Velez, 2018) proposed a theoretical and empirically testable model called the Work as Calling Theory (WCT). Inter alia, they differentiated the terms perceiving a calling and living a calling as well as their relationship with positive and potentially negative outcomes. Perceiving a calling refers to a belief that one is called to perform a particular type of work or career, while living a calling refers to the opportunity to live out the career for which one is called (Dik, Eldridge, Steger, & Duffy, 2012; Duffy, Bott, Allan, Torrey, & Dik, 2012). Clearly, perceiving a calling is a crucial antecedent to living a calling. The correlation between perceiving and living a calling is around .50 and living a calling showed greater predictive power for outcomes such as work meaning, life meaning, job satisfaction, and life satisfaction in comparison with perceiving a calling (for a review, see: Duffy et al., 2018). Moreover, links between perceiving a calling and these outcomes are fully mediated by living a calling. In line with these findings, it can be assumed that those teachers who perceive the calling for teaching are also more prone to the state of flow at work which in turn positively affects their flourishing. Experiencing flow at work can be one route by which perceiving a calling relates to flourishing. Even though research linking calling orientation to the state of flow at work is scarce, these concepts do have similarities, or at least mutual correlates such as: high intrinsic motivation, engagement, commitment, personal involvement and identification to work and/or activity (e.g., Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Duffy et al. 2017; Jung & Yoon, 2016; Kahn & Fellows, 2013; Rothmann & Hamukangandu, 2013b). What about those who do not live a calling? As Hirschi (2012) underlined, based on a number of personal and environmental obstacles, not everyone has the opportunity to seek careers that match personal aspirations and preferences. As a consequence, their well-being might decrease (Duffy, Allan, & Bott, 2012). This study hypothesized that career and job oriented teachers might increase their flourishing through experiencing flow in activities other than at work such as structured leisure activity (career and job oriented) or household activity (job oriented).

Whereas most of the aforementioned research explored teacher’s job and life satisfaction, this study deals with flourishing, as a concept that integrates hedonic and eudaimonic well-being in the sense of feeling good and functioning psychologically and socially well (Keyes, 2002), as the main criterion determining teachers’ well-being.
3. Research Questions

The main objective of this study is to examine the relationship between teachers’ work orientations and flourishing with the mediation of flow in different life domains. This study aims to answer: 1. How well can teachers’ flourishing be predicted by different work orientations?, 2. To what extent do flows in various life domains mediate the relationship between different work orientations and flourishing?

4. Purpose of the Study

By extending previous research that revealed a positive relationship between calling orientation and well-being, and negative job/career orientation and well-being, the current study aimed to explore the role of flow in different life domains as a mechanism underlying this relationship, with the emphasis on flow at work. Through the hypothesized model which integrates the two theories - the Theory of the Work as Calling and the Flow theory - an attempt has been made to explore and explain the teachers’ well-being.

5. Research Methods

The correlational research design was used to examine relationships between work orientations, flow in different life domains and teachers’ flourishing.

5.1. Participants and Procedure

The participants were 315 classroom teachers (teaching 1st to 4th primary school grades) from Croatia, mostly female (95%). The number of years of teaching experience ranged from 0 to 43 years with a mean of 21 years (M = 21.21, SD = 11.68).

Questionnaires were administered during the professional meeting of primary school teachers at the county level and their completion lasted approximately 20 minutes. Prior to the questioning, the respondents were introduced to the aim of the study, and the researchers emphasized the significance of providing honest answers. Participation in the study was anonymous and voluntary.

5.2. Instruments

The following self-report instruments were used: Work-Life Questionnaire as a measure of work orientations, Swedish Flow Proneness Questionnaire, and Flourishing Scale as a measure of well-being.

Work-Life Questionnaire (WLQ; Wrzesniewski et al., 1997) is a three-item questionnaire measuring the attitude towards work. The questionnaire includes three brief scenarios, which describe individuals who approach work as a Job, a Career, and Calling. Each scenario is rated on a 4-point Likert scale to indicate their likeness to how similar they are to the person described (from 1 - not at all like me, through 4 - very much like me).

Extracts from the scenarios (Wrzesniewski et al., 1997, p. 24):
- Person A (job orientation) works primarily to earn enough money to support his life outside of his job. If he was financially secure, he would no longer continue with his current line of work, but would rather do something else instead.

- Person B (career orientation) basically enjoys his work but does not expect to be in his current job five years from now. Instead, he plans to move on to a better, higher-level job. He has several professional goals for his future.

- Person C’s (calling orientation) work is one of the most important parts of his life. He is very pleased that he is in this line of work. He tends to take his work home with him and on vacations, too. He is very satisfied with his work and feels good about his work because he loves it, and because he thinks it makes the world a better place.

Wrzesniewski and associates (1997) who also developed 18 true - false items questionnaire measuring the three orientations, revealed significant and substantial correlations of items with their corresponding scenarios. A translated version of WLQ was already used and showed adequate psychometric characteristics on the Croatian sample (Miljković et al., 2016).

**Swedish Flow Proneness Questionnaire (SFPQ; Ullen et al., 2012)** is a self-report measure of how frequently the participant experiences flow in different life domains: work, maintenance, and leisure time. For each domain, 7 identical items are provided to capture the main dimensions of a flow experience (Csikszentmihalyi & Csikszentmihalyi, 1988), such as concentration, challenge-skill balance, explicit goals, clear feedback, sense of control, lack of boredom and enjoyment (e.g. for work, *When you do something at work, how often does it happen that... e.g. it feels as if your ability to perform what you do completely matches how difficult it is?*). Participants rated the items on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (*never*) to 5 (*every day*). Mean scores were derived for each subscale.

The questionnaire showed adequate psychometric characteristics for each domain used in the original study (Ullen et al., 2012) as in the previous study on the Croatian sample (Rijavec et al., 2017). In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of reliability were: .70, .74. and .77 for work, household maintenance activities and leisure time, respectively.

**Flourishing Scale (FS; Diener et al., 2009)** is an 8-item measure of positive human functioning. Items assess perceived success in important areas such as competence, engagement with daily activities meaning and purpose in life, positive relationships, and optimism (e.g. *I am competent and capable in the activities that are important to me*). Participants rated items on a 7-point scale, ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). The scale calculated as the mean item score represents eudaimonic dimensions of well-being. FS showed adequate psychometric characteristics, and is strongly associated with other psychological well-being scales (Diener et al., 2009). Previous studies on Croatian samples showed adequate psychometric characteristics (Rijavec et al., 2016). Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of reliability in the present study for this single-component measure was .88.

**Demographic variables** that were included in this study were work experience (measured in years) and gender.
6. Findings

6.1. Data Analysis

Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., IL). Descriptive statistics were used to describe characteristics of Croatian teachers in this study. Correlations between the measured variables were assessed by the Pearson correlation coefficient. Three parallel mediation analyses using the PROCESS macro for SPSS were performed to test the hypothesized mediation role of flow in different life domains in the relationship between different work orientations and flourishing.

The underlying assumptions of multiple regression analysis were tested to ensure the validity of the results obtained. For most variables, the values of skewness and kurtosis were below +1 and above -1, except for the variable job orientations, for which kurtosis was below +2 and above -2, which is still considered acceptable confirming normal univariate distribution (George & Mallery, 2010). Mahalanobis' distance based on a chi-square distribution was calculated to detect multivariate outliers. The results showed that from the initial 320 participants, there were 5 cases determined to be multivariate outliers, and those cases were removed from further analysis. All predictors showed a variance inflation factor (VIF) well below the threshold of 5 indicating that multicollinearity was not an issue (Hair, Hult, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2014). All instruments used in the research showed adequate reliability in terms of Cronbach's alpha (see Instruments).

6.2. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Descriptive statistics and inter-correlations of all measured variables are presented in Table 1. In general, Croatian teachers mostly perceive their work as a calling, followed by the perception of their work as a career, and the least as “just a job”, meaning work only as a source of financial stability/security. Approximately, 56% of teachers perceive themselves to be very similar to the description of the person with calling orientation, 6% of teachers very similar to the person with career orientation, and 3% as somewhat similar to the person with job orientation. They reported frequently experiencing flow at work as well as in leisure time, and a slightly less frequently in household maintenance. About 38% of teachers reported that they experience flow at work, during teaching, class activities and preparing lessons almost every day. Finally, teachers reported relatively high level of flourishing.

As expected, the correlation between job orientation and calling orientation was negative, as well as the correlation between job orientation and flow at work (Table 1). Career orientation and calling orientation were also negatively correlated. Unexpectedly, correlations between career orientation and flow in different life domains were statistically insignificant, as well as the association between career orientation and flourishing. Calling orientation correlated positively with flow at work and flow in maintenance. Flow proneness in different domains positively correlated with flourishing. Although statistically significant, almost all inter-correlations were low with small or small-to-medium effects.
### Table 01. Descriptive statistics and correlations of work orientation measures (job, career, and calling), flow proneness (work, household maintenance, and leisure time), and well-being (flourishing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Job</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.24&quot;</td>
<td>-.14&quot;</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.17&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Career</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.14&quot;</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Calling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.17&quot;</td>
<td>.16&quot;</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.27&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flow at work</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.55&quot;</td>
<td>.56&quot;</td>
<td>.34&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Flow in maintenance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.45&quot;</td>
<td>.26&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Flow in leisure time</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.38&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Flourishing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min - max</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>1 - 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05; **p < .01*

6.3. Mediating Role of Flow in Different Domains in the Relationship Between Work Orientations and Flourishing

Three parallel mediation analyses were performed to test the hypothesized mediation role of flow in different life domains in the relation between work orientations and flourishing. A Monte-Carlo (bootstrapping) approximation was obtained with 2000 bootstrap resamples (the 95% confidence). Three models tested flow in different domains as mediators between calling and flourishing (Figure 1), job and flourishing (Figure 2), and career and flourishing. Years of teaching experience were entered into each model as controlled variable due to significant relationship with flourishing (r = -.12, p < .05) and career orientation (r = -.22, p < .05).

Results of the mediation analysis (Figure 1) revealed that calling orientation had a direct positive effect on flourishing (\( \beta = .25, t (313) = 5.18, p < .001 \)). Approximately 9% of the variance in flourishing was accounted for by the calling orientation and controlled years of work experience (\( R = .30, R^2 = .09, F (2,312) = 15.90, p < .001 \)). The standardized regression coefficient between calling orientation and flow at work was statistically significant (\( \beta = .15, t (313) = 3.07, p < .001 \)), as was between calling and flow in household maintenance (\( \beta = .16, t (313) = 2.85, p < .001 \)). In addition, results indicated that the effect of calling orientation on flow in leisure time was non-significant (\( \beta = .07, t (313) = 1.36, p > .05 \)). It was also found that flow at work was positively associated with flourishing (\( \beta = .15, t (313) = 2.26, p < .05 \)), as well as with flow in leisure time (\( \beta = .25, t (313) = 4.33, p < .001 \)), unlike the effect of flow in household maintenance on flourishing, which was non-significant (\( \beta = .01, t (313) = 0.26, p > .05 \)). Results confirmed only the mediating role of flow at work (CI = .01 to .06) in the relationship between calling and flourishing as the Sobel test of the mediation effect was significant (\( z = 2.70, p < .01 \)). The indirect effect of calling orientation on flourishing through flow at work was also found to be significant (\( \beta = .21, t (313) = 4.56, p < .001 \)). As expected, the mediation effect was partial, since the direct effect between calling orientation and flourishing remained significant. The results showed that the proposed model explained 24% of the variance in flourishing (\( R = .49, R^2 = .24, F (5,309) = 19.03, p < .001 \)).
As Figure 2 illustrates, the results supported the direct negative effect of job orientation on flourishing ($\beta = -.15$, $t (313) = -3.00$, $p < .01$). Only 4% of the variance in flourishing was accounted for by the job orientation and controlled years of work experience ($R = .21$, $R^2 = .04$, $F (2,312) = 6.83$, $p < .01$). The effects of job orientation on flow in leisure time ($\beta = .01$, $t (313) = 0.07$, $p > .05$), and on flow in household maintenance ($\beta = .01$, $t (313) = 0.08$, $p > .05$) were non-significant. Job orientation was negatively associated with flow at work ($\beta = -.12$, $t (313) = -2.50$, $p < .01$), which, in turn, was positively associated with flourishing ($\beta = .15$, $t (313) = 2.13$, $p < .05$). Results confirmed only the mediating role of flow at work (CI = -.09 to -.01) in the relation between calling and flourishing. The Sobel test of the mediation effect was significant ($z = -2.29$, $p < .05$). The indirect effect of calling orientation on flourishing through flow at work was also found to be significant ($\beta = -.13$, $t (313) = -2.85$, $p < .05$). Results revealed partial mediation effect. The proposed model explained 21% of the variance in flourishing ($R = .45$, $R^2 = .21$, $F (5,309) = 15.93$, $p < .001$).

Figure 01. Flow in Different Domains mediating the Relationship between Calling Orientation and Flourishing.\(^1\)

\(^1\) Numerical values represent standardized path coefficients ($\beta$, only significant relationships are presented). Total effect is presented in parentheses (c). P-values: *$p < .05$; **$p < .01$; ***$p < .001$. 
Results for career orientation suggested that it was not significantly associated with teachers’ flourishing \((R = .12, R^2 = .02, F(5,309) = -2.32, p > .05)\), neither directly \((β = -.02, t(313) = -.32, p > .05)\) nor indirectly \((β = -.04, t (313) = -.77, p > .05)\). Also, the effects of career orientation on flow at work \((β = -.02, t(313) = -.33, p > .05)\), in leisure time \((β = .09, t (313) = 1.56, p > .05)\), and in household maintenance \((β = .06, t (313) = 1.08, p > .05)\) were non-significant.

7. Conclusion

Job and calling orientation were related to well-being in an expected direction. Flourishing was positively linked to calling orientation and negatively to job orientation. These findings support the results of previous research with Croatian primary school teachers (Jurčec, 2014; Jurčec & Rijavec, 2015; Miljković et al., 2016) and other professions worldwide showing positive relationship between calling orientation and well-being (Duffy, Allan, Autin, & Bott, 2013; Duffy & Sedlacek, 2010; Peterson et al., 2009; Wrzesniewski et al., 1997) and negative relationship between job orientation and well-being (Wrzesniewski et al., 1997). Career orientation was not related to teachers’ well-being.

Results of parallel mediation analyses showed that calling orientation was positively linked to flow at work and to flow in maintenance. Job orientation was linked only to flow at work, in a negative direction while career orientation was not related to any of the flow domains. Flow at work and flow in leisure time (equally rated) were positively linked to flourishing, which is partially in line with previous studies indicating that work is more flow promoting than both leisure and maintenance activities.

---

Figure 02. Flow in Different Domains mediating the Relationship between Job Orientation and Flourishing.

2 Numerical values represent standardized path coefficients \(β\), only significant relationships are presented). Total effect is presented in parentheses \(c\). P-values: \(*p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001\).
(Csikszentmihalyi & LeFevre, 1989). In their study on teachers and physicians, Delle Fave and Massimini (2003) found that highly structured leisure activities such as art-related hobbies and sports were selected as the outstanding opportunities for pervasive flow experiences. They concluded that their participants, according to Parker's description (1997), showed the extension pattern deriving from the spill-over approach in mutual influences between work and leisure in terms of skill development and levels of satisfaction between these different areas of life. Since flow in leisure activities had the strongest predictive power, its great potential in this domain for teachers’ flourishing can be emphasized. Unexpectedly, calling orientation was linked to the flow in household maintenance. It can only be assumed that teachers with calling orientation, through household maintenance felt purposeful, like contributors to the family life even though flow proneness in that domain does not provide an opportunity to flourish.

Calling orientation and job orientation have both direct and indirect effects on well-being, but in the opposite direction. Viewing one’s job as a calling increases work-related flow, which in turn makes teachers prone to flourishing. On the other hand, viewing their job solely as a source of financial security prevents them from experiencing work-related flow, which decreases the opportunity to flourish. Previous research results were contradictory regarding the correlations between career orientation and the other two orientations as well as its effect on teachers’ well-being. In one study on Croatian primary school teachers, career orientation was negatively correlated to job orientation and positively to calling orientation, job satisfaction and life satisfaction (Jurčec & Rijavec, 2015), while in other studies on a different sample of primary school teachers, career orientation was positively related to job orientation and negatively to calling orientation with no effect on job and life satisfaction (Miljković et al., 2016; Rijavec, Pečjak, Jurčec, & Gradišek, 2016). In the study by Rijavec and colleagues (2016), cluster analysis identified two clusters of teachers with different work orientation profiles: intrinsically oriented (higher calling orientation - lower job/career orientation) and extrinsically oriented teachers (lower calling orientation - higher job/career orientation) and the results revealed that intrinsically oriented teachers had higher level of job satisfaction. This was consistent with the framework of the Self-Determination Theory (SDT, Kasser & Ryan, 2001) as job and career orientations correspond to extrinsic life aspirations (financial benefits and status) while the calling orientation corresponds to intrinsic aspirations (such as community service, personal growth). Preferences of extrinsic rewards are connected to lower job and life satisfaction (Vansteenkiste et al., 2007), as confirmed in the present study regarding only job oriented teachers. Career orientation seems to be irrelevant to teachers’ flow proneness and well-being. A recent study by Olčar, Rijavec, and Ljubin Golub (2019) showed, through a serial mediation model, that pursuing extrinsic goals leads to lower well-being, mediated by lower autonomy and lower flow at work, while pursuing intrinsic goals leads to higher well-being, mediated through higher competence and flow at work. These empirical findings can bring some relevant practical implications for educational practice and teachers’ well-being.

7.1. Practical Implications

This study has several practical implications. First, the results have confirmed that teachers with calling orientation have higher well-being than those with job or career orientation. This finding is
important for career counsellors and persons aiming to become teachers because they should be aware of the potentially harmful effects of job orientation. Work orientations are comprised of work attitudes and ethics, and could thus be taken into account in teacher recruitment and evaluation. Those who already are in the teaching profession, but without perceiving or living a calling, should attend coaching and workshops that can help them bring meaning and purpose into their work and consequently find their calling (e.g., Dik, Duffy, & Eldridge, 2009). There are also ways in which employees can re-craft their work (Berg, Dutton, & Wrzesniewski, 2008). Therefore, the school and educational policies need to provide space for teachers to craft their work. Second, the results revealed that flow can be a possible mechanism for the relationship between calling and well-being, thus suggesting that the flourishing of calling oriented teachers can be enhanced by assuring conditions for flow proneness at work. Creating a flow prone work environment that helps teachers to flourish can increase creativity, productivity and mutual student-teacher satisfaction in the classroom. To do so, some preconditions have to be met such as allowing autonomy through freedom and flexibility within a teachers‘ work role, competence through professional development programmes and relatedness through supportive school climate. In sum, to enhance teachers’ flourishing, an optimal balance between skill and challenge needs to be fostered and basic psychological needs satisfied (e.g., Olčar et al., 2019).

7.2. Limitation of the study

The study has several limitations. First, the collected data were based on self-report measures. Future studies may use other measures derived from qualitative methods such as observation, interviews, diary method, and the experience sampling method (ESM). Second, since the correlational and cross-sectional design was used, the temporal and causal inference could not be made. Future longitudinal studies are needed to confirm the results of this study. Nevertheless, the present study is to authors’ knowledge the first to link teachers‘ calling with the flow at work, within the frame of their flourishing.
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