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Abstract

An organization can use risk assumption, risk avoidance, risk retention, risk transfer or any other strategy in order to properly manage the future events based on (Web Finance Inc., 2017). Like any other essential business activity (business process), risk management is a process with a clear purpose, reliable inputs, well-designed activities and value-added outputs (risk management software tools are an important pillar to the concept). The risk management process typically includes activities such as: the identification, sourcing, measurement, evaluation, mitigation and monitoring of the risk. A well-articulated view of risk management process provides a benchmark for companies to help them formulate their proprietary view of their processes that is responsive to their needs based on (Corporate Compliance Insights, 2017). This paper is an exploratory study about some certain software tools used for the management of the risks within organizations and investigates the usage of software tools such as: Risk Watch, Riscare, Risk Management Studio, Krio, MEHARI 2010 basic tool. This article presents a comparative research regarding the software risk management tools which are analyzed through a template. The criterions used for the analysis are: tool architecture, interoperability with other tools, geographical spread, skills needed to install, etc. Information collected from some specialized references was also used.
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1. Introduction

Like any other effective business activity, risk management requires:
- a process with a clear purpose,
- reliable inputs,
- well-designed activities and value-added outputs.

The risk management process typically includes activities such as the identification, sourcing, measurement, evaluation, mitigation and monitoring of risk. A well-articulated process view of risk management provides a benchmark for companies to help them formulate their proprietary view of their process that best fits their needs (Corporate Compliance Insights, 2017).

Risk management means an establishment of a balance between risk and results (Meghea et al., 2008). There are many other definitions of risk management, one of the most used is a five-step theory:
1. Identify – the identification and categorizing the risks;
2. Analyze – the assessment of risks according to their importance / what-if scenarios, the evaluation of the risks impact;
3. Evaluate – the prioritization of the risks according to a set of criterions;
4. Treatment – methods of treatment: avoid, transfer, accept, reduce etc
5. Audit - monitor and review the risk management plan.

2. Problem Statement

Some tools and methodologies used during the various phases of the risk management are presented below (Clarizen Inc., 2017):

- Tools and Techniques for Risk Identification
  - Brainstorming / Delphi method
  - Assumption analysis / Diagramming techniques / Cause and effect diagrams / System or process flow charts
  - Influence diagrams / SWOT analysis

- Tools and Techniques for Qualitative Risk Analysis
  - The assessment based on risk probability and risk impact
  - The matrix of probability and impact / The categorization of risks
  - Risk urgency assessment / Expert judgment

- Tools and Techniques for Quantities Risk Analysis
  - Data gathering & representation techniques
  - Interviewing / Probability distributions
  - Sensitivity analysis
  - Modelling & simulation
  - Schedule risk analysis

There are also a lot of software tools used to manage the risks of an organization, in the chapters below there is an analysis of some of them.
3. Research Questions

1. Are there software tools for risk management?
2. Which are the most commonly used software tools in a company to manage the risks?
3. What are the risk management method phases supported by each analyzed software tool?
4. Taking into account the criterions such as: tool architecture, interoperability with other tools, geographical dispersion, skills that are compulsory to install, compliance to IT standards, the technologies used in the tool - is there an appropriate software tool to manage the risks in a company?

4. Purpose of the Study

The paper is an exploratory research, a comparative view of some certain software tools used to manage the risks in an organization represents the core of the article.

The core objectives of this paper are:

- To describe some software tools for the management of risks: Risk Watch, Riscare, Risk Management Studio, Krío, MEHARI 2010 basic tool
- To comparatively describe some certain risk management software tools – which is the essence - of the article based on some criterions such as: tool architecture, interoperability with other tools, geographical dispersion, skills compulsory to install, compliance to IT standards, the technologies used in the tool, etc.
- To outline the final aspects based on the results of the study.

5. Research Methods

In this article the tools and methods used for research are:

- Descriptive analysis;
- Exploratory research;
- Bibliographic study;
- Analysis in a comparative manner.

Every software tool was described using criterions such as: tool architecture, interoperability with other tools, geographical dispersion, skills compulsory to install, compliance to IT standards, the technologies used in the tool, etc.

The descriptive analysis of every tool consists of the tool presentation and also the risk management method phases supported.
6. Findings

6.1. The software tools – a descriptive analysis

In the next chapters a descriptive analysis about some software tools used to manage the risks in an organization is presented. Based on some representative sources (E.N.I.S.A. Inventory of Risk Management, 2017) (ENISA Technical Department, 2006) and the authors experience, five tools have been analyzed in a non-exhaustive manner.

6.1.1. Risk Watch - analysis based on (ENISA Risk Watch, 2017)

Risk Watch is the Risk Watch company’s solution for information security risk management. The software instrument makes automated risk analysis and vulnerability assessments of the information systems. Other functionalities:

- The databases that are used in the software tool are completely customizable by the user – it is possible to create new assets, threats, vulnerabilities, safeguards, etc;
- There is an online demo available for the product;
- Risk management method phases supported:
  - Risk assessment
  - Risk treatment: Phase III : Define safeguard details
  - Risk acceptance: Phase III : "what-if" scenarios.

An analysis of the software tool can be found in the Tables from 1 to 6.

6.1.2. Risicare

Risicare assists the information risk analysis and management actions in support of MEHARI Risk Model, options and formulas developed by CLUSIF (E.N.I.S.A. Risicare, 2017).

The tool make real-world conditions simulations and test multiple "what if" scenarios;

- The tool can be viewed as a risk modelling software;
- The tool allows the management of an ISMS and uses a set of control points which includes those of ISO 27002 (E.N.I.S.A. Risicare, 2017).

- Risk management method phases supported:
  - Risk assessment: the tool analyses multiple threat situations (scenarios);
  - Risk treatment: simulations and optimizations in order to provide suitable security measures for each unacceptable risk;
  - Risk acceptance;
  - Risk communication.

An analysis of the software tool can be found in the Tables from 1 to 6.

6.1.3. Risk management studio

Risk Management Studio is an efficient risk management software tool. It brings holistic approach by combining the Assessment module with the Business Continuity module.

Features of the software tool (ENISA RM Studio, 2017):
• Existing data for the organization (asset lists, policies, stakeholders) can be imported;
• Diverse Risk libraries (IT, operational, project, environmental, strategic) are included;
• ISO/IEC 27001 and Annex A, Security Controls, along with the Implementation Guidelines from ISO/IEC 27002 ready for immediate deployment
• The certification process is facilitated by a stage-by-stage approach
• The tool provides Gap Analysis, Risk Assessment, Control Effectiveness Assessment;
• A turn-key solution or customizable to meet the individual needs of each organization – ready for deployment.
• Risk management method phases supported:
  - Risk assessment;
  - Risk treatment;
  - Risk acceptance;
  - Risk Communication
• Gap Analysis: GAP Analysis/Compliance check against a standard such as ISO/IEC 27001 or other deployed standards (9001, 14001, 20000, 22000, 22301, PCI DSS, WLA-SCS, CSA CCM).

More info about the software tool in Tables 1-6.

6.1.4. Krio

Krio is a tool for risk management based on the ISO 31000 standard. The tool allows you to manage, identify, analyze and treat multiple scenarios of:
- Regulatory risk
- Technological risk;
- Financial and operational risk;
- Environmental risk;
- Reputational risk.

Krio is used to manage the process of identification, evaluation and treatment of risk, in accordance with 31010 ISO standards. It enables compliance with 100% of the process of analysis and management of risks set forth in the rules of high level (HLS) (ENISA Krio, 2017).

Risk management method phases supported (ENISA Krio, 2017):
- Risk assessment: Identification, analysis and assessment.
- Risk treatment: Threat definition and vulnerability valuating system scenarios
- Risk acceptance: Define, select and justify of ISO specific Controls
- Risk communication: Report

More information about this tool can be found in the tables from 1 to 6.

6.1.5. Mehari 2010 basic tool

The vendor name of the software tool is CLUSIF and the country of origin is France. MEHARI has the following features:
• The results of the Risk Assessment and Risk Management activities can be viewed step-by-step;
• Additional controls for risk reduction are available;
The worksheet of the method contains multiple formulas.

Risk management method processes supported (ENISA Mehari, 2017):
- Risk assessment: The likelihood-impact analysis determines the level of risk scenarios;
- Risk treatment: Security measures are proposed to reduce the risk level;
- Risk acceptance: Options to accept or transfer risk;
- Risk communication: The worksheet can be completed with communication elements.

More information about this software tool can be found in the tables from 1 to 6.

6.2. The Software Tools - A Comparative Analysis

The tables from 1 to 6 show a comparative analysis of risk management tools, based on common criterions. Using the template below (tables 1-6), based on the data from (ENISA. Inventory of Risk Management, 2017) and (ENISA Technical Department, 2006), each tool is described and compared to the others.

Table 01. General data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>Country Of Origin</th>
<th>Website / Links</th>
<th>Free</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Watch</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td><a href="http://www.riskwatch.com/">http://www.riskwatch.com/</a></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISICARE</td>
<td>France</td>
<td><a href="http://www.risicare.fr">http://www.risicare.fr</a></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Studio</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td><a href="https://www.riskmanagementstudio.com/">https://www.riskmanagementstudio.com/</a></td>
<td>No / Sectors with free availability or discounted prices: Universities looking to provide tools for risk management as part of a curriculum resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRIO</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><a href="https://www.krio.es/">https://www.krio.es/</a></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHARI 2010 basic tool</td>
<td>France</td>
<td><a href="http://www.clusif.asso.fr/en/clusif/present/">http://www.clusif.asso.fr/en/clusif/present/</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 02. R.M. Method phases supported (Risk assessment – As, Risk treatment – T, Risk acceptance – Ac, Risk communication – C, Gap Analysis - GA), Other functionality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>R.M. Method Phases Supported</th>
<th>Other Functionalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Watch</td>
<td>As, T, Ac</td>
<td>Asset Inventory: List of individual assets grouped in categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISICARE</td>
<td>As, T, Ac, C</td>
<td>Risicare may display the risk reduction phases based on the planned improvements and the target dates for their achievements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Security Model: Risk Management Studio comes with a powerful security model providing user authentication and authorization.

Evaluation Templates: Risk Management Studio uses Risk Profiles to establish the asset and risk evaluation templates, as well as set the risk appetite and the scoring method.

Data Export

Easy Install: Risk Management Studio uses an installation wizard and intuitive guidelines for an easy install of the application and the database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool name</th>
<th>Technical elements / The technologies used in this tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Studio</td>
<td>• Security Model: Risk Management Studio comes with a powerful security model providing user authentication and authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Evaluation Templates: Risk Management Studio uses Risk Profiles to establish the asset and risk evaluation templates, as well as set the risk appetite and the scoring method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Data Export</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy Install: Risk Management Studio uses an installation wizard and intuitive guidelines for an easy install of the application and the database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KRIIO</th>
<th>Reports Tool: Automatic report generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEHARI 2010 basic tool</td>
<td>ISO 27002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 03.** Tool architecture
Table 04. Target organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>Gov. Agencies</th>
<th>Large Org.</th>
<th>Commercials Org.</th>
<th>Non-Commercials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Watch</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISICARE</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Studio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRIO</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHARI 2010 basic tool</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 05. Interoperability with other tools; Geographical spread; Language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>Interoperability: Method of Integration / Tool</th>
<th>Used in E.U / Non E.U.</th>
<th>Available In The Following European Languages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Watch</td>
<td>Import/Export: Data Sheet (Excel), Databases (ODBC)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISICARE</td>
<td>• Deliverable results can be exported in CSV format. • Charts and Datasheet can be directly copied into the clipboard.</td>
<td>France, Belgium, Luxembourg plus worldwide affiliates / USA, Canada, Switzerland, Morocco, Mali</td>
<td>French, English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Studio</td>
<td>Export to : Word, Excel, PDF</td>
<td>Germany, Netherlands, Switzerland, Portugal, UK, Italy, Latvia, Greece, Norway / U.S.A., Australia, Canada, Mongolia, India, Pakistan, UAE, Egypt</td>
<td>English, German, Icelandic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRIO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>World-wide in many different organisations</td>
<td>Spanish, English, Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHARI 2010 basic tool</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>France, Germany, UK, Swiss, Belgium, Poland, Spain, Luxemburg / Used outside EU countries : Above 120</td>
<td>English, French</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 06. Skills needed to install / use / maintain; Trainings, Compliance to IT Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Name</th>
<th>Skills</th>
<th>Trainings Course / Duration / Taxes</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Risk Watch      | N/A / On-line help / N/A | Course: Risk Watch for Information Systems / 2 days duration / cost $1000 per person | • ISO 17799: Control standards included  
• US-NIST 800-26: Control standards included |
| RISICARE        | Basic / Standard / Basic | Course CRAMM method / 3 days / approx. 1000 pounds | • Integrates within ISO 27001 (mostly Plan phase)  
• ISO 27002: measures the compliance of the organization to all control points  
• From ISO 13335 for future applicability to ISO 27005  
• Applicable to operational risk reduction such as Basel II, SOX |
• ISO/IEC 27017:2015  
• ISO/IEC 27018:2014  
• ISO/IEC 20000:2011  
• ISO 22301  
• CSA CCM 3.0.1  
• PCI DSS 3.1 |
| RISIO           | N/A / N/A / N/A | -------------------------- | • ISO/IEC27001  
• ISO/IEC 27002  
• ISO 9001  
• ISO 14001  
• ISO 22301  
• ISO 19600  
• ISO 28000  
• ISO 50001 |
| MEHARI 2010     | Basic / Basic / Basic | Course: 3 to 5 days | • ISO 27005:2008 - Requirements OK  
• ISO 27001:2005 - Including 27002 controls |

7. Conclusion

The constant evolution of information technology requires the usage of new tools during the risk management process. This paper is a comparative study on tools which are being used by companies to manage risks. Every tool has both advantages and disadvantages, for example: some of them are not free to use. The most important criterions when choosing a good software tool for risk management are: method phases supported, tool architecture and interoperability with other software platforms or
packages. The future tools for risk management must have a more efficient interoperability and also they should integrate the risk management process other processes within the organizations.
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